Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/552,398	KOYAMA, KAZUHIRO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sherali Ishrat	2624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Sherali Ishrat.	(3)	
(2) Mr. LaCava, Richard.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 April 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Na		
Claims discussed: 1 and 17-28		
Prior art documents discussed: 1449/892		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Sherali Ishrat/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that independent claim 1 and dependent claims are allowable over prior art of record. Applicant has argued that non-elected claims 17-28 should also be allowed because they are dependent claims on claim 1 therefore they should be also allowed. Argument with respect to claims 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28 is valid however claims 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27 and 32 shoulkd be canceled because claim 17 contradicts independent claim therefore in ordere to place the application in condition for allowance claim 17 and it's dependent claim should be canceled. Applicant Attorney agreed to cancel claim 17 and it's dependent claim by an examiner's amendment. Furthermor Examiner informed Applicant's that following is the reasons for allowonce of independent claim 1: independent claim 1 identifies distinct and unique limitions " a coding control unit for setting a parameter for compression-coding based on received decoding information outputted from the receiver side moving image decoding device, a coding unit for compression-coding the moving image signal decoded by the decoding unit by using the parameter for compression-coding outputted from the coding control unit, the coding unit starts operation when control information outputted from the coding control unit is inputted, performs intra-frame coding to a first frame after starting the operation, and performs inter-frame prediction coding to a subsequent frame. The priror art of record disclose transcoding and coding/decoding for transmitter /reciever, however fail to anticipate or render the above limitations obvious singularly or in combination.